# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 48854 City of Centerville RN101610624 Docket No. 2014-0864-MWD-E

**Order Type:** 

1660 Agreed Order

**Findings Order Justification:** 

N/A

Media:

**MWD** 

**Small Business:** 

No

**Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:** 

Centerville Sewage Treatment Plant, located at 709 East Saint Mary's, immediately south of State Highway 7 and approximately 1,700 feet east of U.S. Highway 75, Centerville, Leon County

**Type of Operation:** 

Wastewater treatment facility

**Other Significant Matters:** 

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: March 20, 2015

Comments Received: No

**Penalty Information** 

Total Penalty Assessed: \$41,662

**Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement:** \$8,332 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

**Total Paid to General Revenue:** \$33,330

**Total Due to General Revenue: \$0** 

Payment Plan: N/A

Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

**Compliance History Classifications:** 

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A Applicable Penalty Policy: April 2014

## Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 48854 City of Centerville RN101610624 Docket No. 2014-0864-MWD-E

### **Investigation Information**

Complaint Date(s): N/A Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: May 28, 2014

**Date(s) of NOE(s):** June 3, 2014

#### Violation Information

- 1. Failed to comply with permitted effluent limits for ammonia nitrogen and *Escherichia coli* [Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0010147001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1].
- 2. Failed to timely submit monitoring results. Specifically, the Respondent failed to submit the discharge monitoring report ("DMR") for the monthly monitoring period ending March 31, 2014 by the 20th day of the following month [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and (17) and TPDES Permit No. WQ0010147001, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements No. 1].

#### Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

# **Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

By June 6, 2014, the Respondent submitted the DMR for the monitoring period ending March 31, 2014, conducted an internal review of the online DMR submission process, modified procedures, and conducted training to ensure DMRs are submitted when due.

# **Technical Requirements:**

The Order will require the Respondent to, within 180 days, submit written certification of compliance with the effluent limits of TPDES Permit No. WQ0010147001, including specific corrective actions that were implemented at the Facility to achieve compliance and copies of the most current self-reported DMRs, demonstrating at least three consecutive months of compliance with all permitted effluent limitations.

# Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A
Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A
SOAH Referral Date: N/A
Hearing Date(s): N/A

**Settlement Date:** N/A

# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 48854 City of Centerville RN101610624 Docket No. 2014-0864-MWD-E

# **Contact Information**

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Heather Brister, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 1, MC R-4, (817) 588-5825; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

**Respondent:** The Honorable Noal R. Goolsby, Mayor, City of Centerville, P.O. Box

279, Centerville, Texas 75833

Respondent's Attorney: Charles D. Olson, Haley & Olson, P.C., 510 North Valley

Mills Drive, Suite 600, Waco, Texas 76710-6078

#### Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) PCW Revision March 26, 2014 9-Jun-2014 Assigned Screening 17-Jun-2014 PCW 31-Oct-2014 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent City of Centerville Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101610624 Facility/Site Region 9-Waco Major/Minor Source Minor CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 48854 No. of Violations 3 Docket No. 2014-0864-MWD-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Water Quality Government/Non-Profit Yes Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Raymond Mejia EC's Team Enforcement Team 1 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$25,250 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. Compliance History 65.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$16,412 Enhancement for nine months of self-reported effluent violations and one Notes order with denial of liability. 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 **Culpability** No \$0 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$0 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement\* Subtotal 6 \$0 Total EB Amounts \*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount \$79,063 Estimated Cost of Compliance SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 \$41,662 Final Subtotal OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% \$0 Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Adjustment

Reduction

20.0%

\$41,662

\$41,662

-\$8,332

\$33,330

Notes

Notes

**PAYABLE PENALTY** 

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

Docket No. 2014-0864-MWD-E

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Respondent City of Centerville

**Case ID No.** 48854

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101610624 Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator Raymond Mejia

**Compliance History Worksheet** 

cices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)  en NOVs  I final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of ting criteria)  icated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal t, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission liability (number of judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or crees meeting criteria)  cated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated indugments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state ral government all convictions of this state or the federal government (number of the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the ronmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, ther of audits for which notices were submitted)  of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit tr, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were consisted compliance assessments conducted by the executive director on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director on-	0 0 0 0	0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
ifinal enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of ting criteria)  icated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal t, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission lipudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or crees meeting criteria)  cated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated ludgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state ral government all convictions of this state or the federal government (number of exessive emissions events (number of events)  fying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the ronmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, ber of audits for which notices were submitted)  of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit tt, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were nated management systems in place for one year or more	O O O O O No	20% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
icated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal t, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission ljudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or crees meeting criteria) cated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state ral government all convictions of this state or the federal government (number of cressive emissions events (number of events)  fying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the ronmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, there of audits for which notices were submitted)  of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit ct, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were pleased in the place for one year or more	O O O O O O No	0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal t, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission ljudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or crees meeting criteria) cated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state ral government all convictions of this state or the federal government (number of essive emissions events (number of events) fying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the ronmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, ber of audits for which notices were submitted) of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit ct, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were pleased in the place for one year or more	O O O O O No	0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or crees meeting criteria)  cated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state ral government al convictions of this state or the federal government (number of cessive emissions events (number of events)  fying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the ronmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, there of audits for which notices were submitted)  of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit tt, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were pleased in the place for one year or more	O O O O No	0% 0% 0% 0%
judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state ral government all convictions of this state or the federal government (number of exessive emissions events (number of events)  fying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the ronmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, ber of audits for which notices were submitted)  of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit et, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were ental management systems in place for one year or more	O O O O ease Enter Yes or No No	0%
fying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the ronmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, ber of audits for which notices were submitted) of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit et, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were	O O O No	0%
fying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the ronmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, ber of audits for which notices were submitted) of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit at, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were	0 0 ease Enter Yes or No No	0%
ronmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, ber of audits for which notices were submitted) of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit ct, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were  Ple ntal management systems in place for one year or more	0 Passe Enter Yes or No No	0%
t, 74th Legislature, 1995 ( <i>number of audits for which violations were</i> Ple  Ital management systems in place for one year or more	0 Pase Enter Yes or No No	)
ntal management systems in place for one year or more	No	7
		0%
on-cita compliance accessments conducted by the executive director	No	
ecial assistance program		0%
n in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
liance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal t environmental requirements	No	0%
Adjustment Per	centage (Su	btotal 2)
Adjustment Per	centage (Sui	ototai 3)
Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Adjustment Per	centage (Sul	ototal 7)
nent for nine months of self-reported effluent violations and one order liability.	with denial of	
2000000	Adjustment Per Classification (Subtotal 7)  Adjustment Per  y  ment for nine months of self-reported effluent violations and one order liability.	Adjustment Percentage (Sul Classification (Subtotal 7)  Adjustment Percentage (Sul Y  ment for nine months of self-reported effluent violations and one order with denial of

Screening Date	17-Jun-2014	Docket No	2014-0864-MWD-E	PCW
	City of Centerville			Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.				PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Media [Statute]				
Enf. Coordinator	Raymond Mejia			
Violation Number				
Rule Cite(s)	Pollutant Discharge E	.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. limination System ("TPDES imitations and Monitoring F	i") Permit No. WQ0010147	
Violation Description		permitted effluent limits, a May 28, 2014, and shown		
			Base F	Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper				
Release	<b>Harı</b> Major Moder Moder			
OR Actua				
Potentia	<u> </u>		Percent 15.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix				a cranding
Falsification	Major Moder	ate Minor	Percent 0.0%	ALCONOMISM AND
	<u> </u>		0.070	WW 6 16400
		been exposed to significan		
Notes not exceed I	evels that are protective	of human health or environ the violation.	nmental receptors as a res	sult of
11323333311				
		/	Adjustment \$	21,250
				\$3,750
Violation Events				
VIOIALION EVENIS				
Number of	Violation Events 6	184	Number of violation day	ys
	daily			
	weekly			,
mark only one	monthly <u>x</u> quarterly		Violation Base F	Penalty \$22,500
with an x	semiannual		Violation base P	\$22,500
	annual			
	single event			
Six monthly	events are recommended	for the months of August	2013 September 2013 O	ctoher
		013, December 2013, and		
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply 0 Before NOE	/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settle		duction \$0
	Extraordinary	/NOV NOL/NOV to EDFR//Sett	anene onei	
	Ordinary			
	N/A X	(mark with x)		
	Notes The Res	pondent does not meet the		
		this violation.		
	<del></del>		Violation St	ıbtotal \$22,500
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit T	est
Estimat	ed EB Amount	\$79,062	Violation Final Penalty	/ Total \$37,125
	Thic	violation Final Assessed	l Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$37,125
	11113		Charty (dajusted 101	45,,125

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent Case ID No.	48854		-				
Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media Violation No.	Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Violation No.	1					5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$	Ī					
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$850,000	31-Jul-2013	10-Jun-2015	1.86	\$79,062	n/a	\$79.062
	II Esumateu cos	st to determine th	e cause or nonc	omplia	nce and to make a	any necessary repair	s/adjustments
Notes for DELAYED costs	to the Faci	lity. Date require	d is the initial d	ate of r	noncompliance. Fi ance.	any necessary repair nal date is the expe	cted date of
Avoided Costs	to the Faci	lity. Date require	d is the initial d	ate of r compli	noncompliance. Fi ance. ng item (except	nal date is the expe	cted date of
Avoided Costs	to the Faci	lity. Date require	d is the initial d	compli compli nterir	noncompliance. Fi ance. ng item (except \$0	nal date is the expe for one-time avoid \$0	cted date of led costs) \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	to the Faci	lity. Date require	d is the initial d	ote of r compli compli nterir 0.00	noncompliance. Fi ance. ng item (except \$0 \$0	nal date is the expe for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	cted date of  led costs) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling	to the Faci	lity. Date require	d is the initial d	ente of r complianterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	noncompliance. Fi ance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	nal date is the expe  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	ted date of   
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	to the Faci	lity. Date require	d is the initial d	nterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	noncompliance. Fi ance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	to the Faci	lity. Date require	d is the initial d	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	noncompliance. Fi ance. ag item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ted date of
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	to the Faci	lity. Date require	d is the initial d	nterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	noncompliance. Fi ance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$	ted date of
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	to the Faci	lity. Date require	d is the initial d	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	noncompliance. Fi ance. ag item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ted date of  led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	to the Faci	lity. Date require	d is the initial d	nterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	noncompliance. Fi ance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$	ted date of    ied costs     \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0

	ening Date			Doc	<b>ket No.</b> 20:	14-0864-MWD-E		PCW
	cespondent Case ID No.	City of Centervill 48854	ie				*	sion 4 (April 2014) on March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Re	ference No.	RN101610624						
	a [Statute]							
	ation Number	Raymond Mejia						
	Rule Cite(s)		ode § 26.121	(a)(1), 30 Tex	. Admin. Code	§ 305.125(1), a	nd TPDES	
						nitoring Requiren		
Violatio	n Description	Failed to compl	y with permit	ted effluent lin	nits, as docum	ented during a re	ecord review	
Violatio	in Description	conduct	ed on May 28	, 2014, and sh	nown in the at	tached violation t	able.	
						В	ase Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environme			Harm					
OR	Release Actual		Moderate	Minor				
- CN	Potential			X	Pe	rcent 5.0%		
·>Programma	tic Matrix			<del></del>				
	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor		·		
		<u>                                     </u>			Pe	rcent 0.0%		
	A simplified	model was utilize	d to ovaluate	the values fo		rogen to determine		
						rogen to determine the environment.		
Matrix Notes	further analys	sis was conducted	by the Wate	r Quality Stan	dards Team to	determine the ir	npact of the	
Wolces	<b>18</b>	. 5				discharged at the n health or the e		
	Violation were	s magnineant and	r did riot exce	ed levels prote	ective of Huma	in health of the e	TIVII OTITITETIL.	
					Adjust	ment	\$23,750	
								11.050
								\$1,250
iolation Even	ts							
	Number of \	Violation Events	2		90 Nu	mber of violation	days	
				(11111				
		daily weekly						
	mark anh ana	monthly						
	mark only one with an x	quarterly	x			Violation Ba	ase Penalty	\$2,500
		semiannual annual						
		single event						
	[F			~~~				
	Two quart	terly events are r				the months of Jul	y 2013,	
			February	2014, and Mai	ch 2014.			
ood Faith Effo		F	0.00/					¢0
IOOG FAILII EIII	Ji is to Com		0.0% fore NOE/NOV	NOE/NOV to EDF	RP/Settlement O	a a sa a	Reduction	\$0
		Extraordinary						
		Ordinary						
		N/A  _	x	(mark with x)		1		
		Notes	The Responde		neet the good iolation.	faith criteria for		
						Violatio	on Subtotal	\$2,500
conomic Bene	efit (EB) for	this violation	1		St	atutory Limit	Test	
	Estimate	ed EB Amount		\$0	Vi	olation Final Pe	nalty Total	\$4,125
35555555555555555	1999 <b>5</b> 33333334	955555555555555555555555555555555555555	This vi	olation Final	Assessed Pe	enalty (adjusted	l for limits)	\$4,125
							_	

Case ID No.							
eg. Ent. Reference No.							Years of
	Water Quality					<b>Percent Interest</b>	Depreciation
Violation No.	2						nga taan <del>t</del> aan oo oo oo oo oo oo oo oo oo
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
					<del> </del>		
Other (as needed)		Economic	henefilt for this	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel	ANNUAL			0.00 violatio	on is included in Vi		\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel  nspection/Reporting/Sampling	ANNUAL			violation  enterin  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00	on is included in Vi ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	olation No. 1.  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	ANNUAL			violatio  enterii  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00	on is included in Vi ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	olation No. 1.  for one-time avoid	\$0 ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel repection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANNUAL			violation  enterin  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00	on is included in Vi ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	olation No. 1.  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	ANNUAL			violatio  enterii  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00	on is included in Vi ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	olation No. 1.  for one-time avoid	\$0 ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

		17-Jun-2014	Doc	ket No. 2014-0864-MWD-E	PCW
	spondent se ID No.	City of Centerville			Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Reg. Ent. Refe					PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Media	[Statute]	Water Quality			nin to the state of the state o
		Raymond Mejia			
	ion Number				
	tule cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code	e § 305.125(1) and (17) Monitoring and Reporting	and TPDES Permit No. WQ0010 g Requirements No. 1	147001,
		Failed to timely su	hmit monitoring recults	at the intervals specified in the p	
Violation	Description	Specifically, the ("DMR") for the p	Respondent failed to su eriod ending March 31,	bmit the discharge monitoring re 2014, by the 20th day of the folk review conducted on May 28, 20	port owing
	'			Base I	Penalty \$25,000
>> Environment	al, Proper	un namen en e	lealth Matrix <sup>Iarm</sup>		<del>à</del> màrch ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann an
	Release		derate Minor		
OR	Actual Potential			Percent 0.0%	
		,		<u> </u>	
>>Programmati	c <b>Matrix</b> Falsification	Major Mo	derate Minor		THE STATE OF THE S
				Percent 1.0%	
Matrix					
Notes		More than ,	70% of the permit requi	rement was met.	
				Adjustment	\$24,750
				•	
опентиция. Поставления и поставления и					\$250
<b>Violation Events</b>					
The state of the s	Number of \	/iolation Events		Number of violation da	ys
		daily weekly			· ·
		monthly			
	mark only one with an x	quarterly		Violation Base F	Penalty \$250
		semiannuai annuai			outoutte
***************************************		single event	X		·
Į.					
		On	e single event is recomr	nended.	
L					
<b>Good Faith Effort</b>	ts to Comp		0.0%		duction \$0
		Extraordinary Extra	NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPR	IP/Settlement Offer	
An editorial desiration of the control of the contr		Ordinary			
***************************************		N/A	(mark with x)		
		Notes The		eet the good faith criteria for plation.	· PRINTED PRIN
MITTANATAN		L.			200
				Violation Su	ubtotal \$250
Economic Benefi	t (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit T	est
ALLEGA	Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$2	Violation Final Penalty	y Total \$413
		-	***************************************		
			ima viviacivii Filidi AS	sessed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$413

Case ID No.	TUUJT						
	DN101610624						
eg. Ent. Reference No.	Water Quality						Years of
Media Violation No.						<b>Percent Interest</b>	Depreciation
Violation No.	3						
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	<b>Date Required</b>	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$25	20-Apr-2014	6-Jun-2014	0.13	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	\$250	20-Apr-2014	6-Jun-2014	0.13	\$2	n/a	\$2
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0		
				nd to u	pdate operating pr	ocedures and condu	
Notes for DELAYED costs	ensure DMR	s are submitted a	s required. Da dat	nd to up te requ te of co	pdate operating pr ired is the date the mpliance.	ocedures and condu e DMR was due. Fir	uct training to nal date is the
Avoided Costs	ensure DMR	s are submitted a	s required. Da dat	nd to up te requ te of co <b>enteri</b>	pdate operating pr ired is the date the mpliance. ng item (except	ocedures and condu e DMR was due. Fir for one-time avoid	uct training to nal date is the ded costs)
Avoided Costs Disposal	ensure DMR	s are submitted a	s required. Da dat	nd to up te requ te of co enterio	pdate operating prired is the date the mpliance.  ng item (except \$0	ocedures and condu e DMR was due. Fir for one-time avoid \$0	uct training to nal date is the ded costs) \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	ensure DMR	s are submitted a	s required. Da dat	nd to up te requ te of co enterio 0.00	pdate operating prized is the date the mpliance.  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	ocedures and condu e DMR was due. Fir for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	uct training to hal date is the ded costs) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	ensure DMR	s are submitted a	s required. Da dat	nd to up te requ te of co enterin 0.00 0.00	pdate operating prized is the date the mpliance.  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ocedures and condu e DMR was due. Fir for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	uct training to hal date is the ded costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	ensure DMR	s are submitted a	s required. Da dat	nd to up te requ te of co enterio 0.00 0.00 0.00	pdate operating prired is the date the mpliance.  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ocedures and conduct DMR was due. Fir for one-time avoides \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.0000 \$0.00000000	ded costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ensure DMR	s are submitted a	s required. Da dat	nd to up te requ te of co enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	pdate operating prired is the date the mpliance.  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ocedures and conduct DMR was due. Fir for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ded costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	ensure DMR	s are submitted a	s required. Da dat	nd to up te requ te of co enterio 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	podate operating prired is the date the mpliance.  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ocedures and condue DMR was due. Fir for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ded costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ensure DMR	s are submitted a	s required. Da dat	nd to up te requ te of co enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	pdate operating prired is the date the mpliance.  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ocedures and conduct DMR was due. Fir for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ded costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	ensure DMR	s are submitted a	s required. Da dat	nd to up te requ te of co enterio 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	podate operating prired is the date the mpliance.  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ocedures and condue DMR was due. Fir for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ded costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel  spection/Reporting/Sampling  Supplies/Equipment  Financial Assurance [2]  ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]  Other (as needed)	ensure DMR	s are submitted a	s required. Da dat	nd to up te requ te of co enterio 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	podate operating prired is the date the mpliance.  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ocedures and condue DMR was due. Fir for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ded costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

		EF TPU Do	EFFLUENT VIOLATION TABLE City of Centerville TPDES Permit No. WQ0010147001 Docket No. 2014-0864-MWD-E	ENT VIOLATION 'City of Centerville Permit No. WQool No. 2014-0864-M	TABLE 10147001 1WD-E			·	
		EF	EFFLUENT VIOLATION TABLE	OLATION	TABLE				
				Mo	Monitoring Period	poi			
Permitted Effluent Limits	July	August	September	October	October November December	December	January	February	March
	2013	2013	2013	2013	2013	2013	2014	2014	2014
Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Average Concentration	၁	၁	0	ວ	ວ	ဎ	21.75	29.3	27.35
Limit = $12 \text{ mg/L}$				-		-			
Ammonia Nitrogen Daily							-		
Average Loading	၁	<b>ပ</b>	ပ	၁	ဎ	၁	13.45	19.87	20.15
Limit = $12 lbs/day$								-	
E. coli Daily Average	19 200	77. 700 1	922 1	0	4 004	0 7 00 1	9 100	¢	c
Limit = 126 CFU/100 mL	40./67	1,304./3	0/6,1	0.6//	4,001	1,434.4	391.0	ن	ن
E. coli Single Grab Maximum	727	1,990	2,850	1,120	15,500	2,760	1,410	ပ	ပ
Limit = 394 CFU/100 mL			•		)		•	-	

mg/L = milligrams per liter lbs/day = pounds per day c = compliant E. coli = Escherichia coli

CFU/100 mL = colony forming units per 100 milliliters





# **CEQ** Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN600335392, RN101610624, Rating Year 2013 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2008, through August 31, 2013.

Customer, Respondent, CN600335392, City of Centerville

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 4.23

or Owner/Operator:

RN101610624, CENTERVILLE SEWAGE

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 4.23

**Complexity Points:** 

**Regulated Entity:** 

Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group:

08 - Sewage Treatment Facilities

Location:

AT 709 EAST SAINT MARY'S, IMMEDIATELY SOUTH OF STATE HIGHWAY 7 AND APPROXIMATELY 1,700 FEET

EAST OF UNITED STATES HIGHWAY 75 IN CENTERVILLE, LEON COUNTY, TEXAS

**TCEQ Region:** 

**REGION 09 - WACO** 

TREATMENT PLANT

ID Number(s):

**WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ0010147001** 

**WASTEWATER EPA ID TX0077810** 

**WASTEWATER AUTHORIZATION R10147001** 

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2008 to August 31, 2013

Rating Year: 2013

Rating Date: 09/01/2013

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: August 27, 2014

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: August 27, 2009 to August 27, 2014

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Raymond Mejia

Phone: (512) 239-5460

#### Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES NO

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

N/A

owner(s)/operator(s)?

5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator N/A occur?

#### Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

Effective Date: 10/31/2009

ADMINORDER 2009-0997-MWD-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Ramt Prov: Effluent Limits PERMIT

Description: Failure to comply with permit effluent limits as documented by a TCEQ record review of self-reported data.

#### **B.** Criminal convictions:

N/A

#### C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

#### D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

THE approve	ii dates or investigation	is (cerps r
Item 1	October 15, 2009	(813277)
Item 2	December 14, 2009	(813278)
Item 3	January 13, 2010	(813279)
Item 4	June 14, 2010	(847196)
Item 5	July 14, 2010	(861655)
Item 6	October 15, 2010	(882561)
Item 7	November 18, 2010	(888989)
Item 8	December 17, 2010	(897348)
Item 9	January 18, 2011	(903243)
Item 10	February 17, 2011	(910162)
Item 11	March 21, 2011	(917367)
Item 12	May 19, 2011	(939067)
Item 13	July 19, 2011	(946450)
Item 14	September 14, 2011	(960341)
Item 15	September 19, 2011	(966397)
Item 16	October 19, 2011	(972408)
Item 17	November 18, 2011	(978559)
Item 18	December 19, 2011	(985380)
Item 19	January 19, 2012	(991661)
Item 20	February 17, 2012	(999006)
Item 21	March 19, 2012	(1004527)
Item 22	April 19, 2012	(1011110)
Item 23	May 18, 2012	(1017466)
Item 24	July 09, 2012	(1025265)
Item 25	July 19, 2012	(1032606)
Item 26	September 19, 2012	(1047957)
Item 27	December 19, 2012	(1066338)
Item 28	May 20, 2013	(1107735)
Item 29	June 19, 2013	(1111370)
Item 30	July 18, 2013	(1118269)
Item 31	June 19, 2014	(1188500)

#### E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

Moderate

08/31/2013 (1130617) CN600335392 1 Self Report? Classification: Moderate Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description: 09/30/2013 (1136381) 2 CN600335392 Date: Self Report? Classification: Moderate

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

10/31/2013 (1141763) CN600335392 3 Date:

> Self Report? YES Classification: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description:

Date: 11/30/2013 (1148227) CN600335392 Self Report? Classification: Moderate

> 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

5 Date: 12/31/2013 (1154300) CN600335392 Self Report? Classification: YES Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description: 01/31/2014 (1161617) CN600335392 6 Date: Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description: Date: 02/28/2014 (1168251) CN600335392 7 Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description: 03/31/2014 (1181604) CN600335392 8 Date: Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description:

9

Date:

04/30/2014 (1181605)

CN600335392

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

Self Report? YES

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

#### F. Environmental audits:

N/A

# G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

#### H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

#### I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

#### J. Early compliance:

N/A

#### **Sites Outside of Texas:**

N/A



# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
CITY OF CENTERVILLE	§	
RN101610624	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

#### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2014-0864-MWD-E

#### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a wastewater treatment facility located at 709 East Saint Mary's, immediately south of State Highway 7 and approximately 1,700 feet east of United States Highway 75 in Centerville, Leon County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent has discharged municipal waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about June 8, 2014.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Forty-One Thousand Six Hundred Sixty-Two Dollars (\$41,662) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged

in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Thirty-Three Thousand Three Hundred Thirty Dollars (\$33,330) of the administrative penalty and Eight Thousand Three Hundred Thirty-Two Dollars (\$8,332) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that by June 6, 2014, the Respondent submitted the discharge monitoring report ("DMR") for the monitoring period ending March 31, 2014, conducted an internal review of the online DMR submission process, modified procedures, and conducted training to ensure DMRs are submitted when due.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to comply with permitted effluent limits, in violation of Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0010147001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1, as documented during a record review conducted on May 28, 2014 and shown in the violation table below:

			EFFLU!	ENT VIOL	ATION TABLE	Ξ	·		
Permitted				Mo	nitoring Perio	od			
Effluent Limits	July 2013	August 2013	September 2013	October 2013	November 2013	December 2013	January 2014	February 2014	March 2014
Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Average Concentration Limit = 12 mg/L	c	c	c	c	c	c	21.75	29.30	27.35
Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Average Loading Limit = 12 lbs/day	c	· c	c	c ·	. <b>c</b> .	c	13.45	19.87	20.15
E. coli Daily Average Limit = 126 CFU/100 mL	237.64	1,304.75	1,576	775.8	4,001	1,234.2	391.6	c	c
E. coli Single Grab Maximum Limit = 394 CFU/100 mL	727	1,990	2,850	1,120	15,500	2,760	1,410	c	c

mg/L = milligrams per liter E. coli = Escherichia coli

lbs/day = pounds per day

c = compliantCFU/100 mL = colony forming units per 100 milliliters

Failed to timely submit monitoring results, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE 2. § 305.125(1) and (17) and TPDES Permit No. WQ0010147001, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements No. 1, as documented during a record review conducted on May 28, 2014. Specifically, the Respondent failed to submit the DMR for the monthly monitoring period ending March 31, 2014, by the 20th day of the following month.

#### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

#### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty 1. as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to

City of Centerville DOCKET NO. 2014-0864-MWD-E Page 4

"TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of Centerville, Docket No. 2014-0864-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It further ordered that, within 180 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent shall submit written certification of compliance with the effluent limits of TPDES Permit No. WQoo10147001, including specific corrective actions that were implemented at the Facility to achieve compliance and copies of the most current self-reported DMRs, demonstrating at least three consecutive months of compliance with all permitted effluent limitations. The certification shall include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with the permitted effluent limitations. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section Manager Waco Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 6801 Sanger Avenue, Suite 2500 Waco, Texas 76710-7826

3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.

City of Centerville DOCKET NO. 2014-0864-MWD-E Page 5

- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

For the Commission

Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of

City of Centerville

# SIGNATURE PAGE

8/14/15

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, is accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.
<ul> <li>I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:</li> <li>A negative impact on compliance history;</li> <li>Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;</li> <li>Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;</li> <li>Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;</li> <li>Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and</li> <li>TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.</li> <li>In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.</li> </ul>
NR Davids Date
N.R. Grootsky Mayor

**Instructions**: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.